

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
Washington, D. C.

MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF  
THE FACULTY SENATE HELD ON APRIL 11,  
1975, IN THE FACULTY CONFERENCE ROOM,  
SIXTH FLOOR, LISNER HALL

1 The meeting was called to order at 2:10 p.m. by President Elliott, who presided.

Present: President Elliott, Provost Bright, Registrar Gebhardtsbauer, Adams, Albert, Boswell, Cassidy, Cottrell, C. Elliott, Fox, Griffith, Grub, Kirsch, Kramer, Kurtz, Lilliefors, Meltzer, Metivier for Linton, Morgan, Naeser, Nash, Pierpont, Reich, Schiff, Schmidt, Smith, Stevenson, Vaill, Vontress, Wallace, and Wood; Parliamentarian Schwartz.

Absent: Feffer, R. Kramer, Liebowitz, Sapin, Solomon, and Tillman.

The minutes of the regular meeting of March 21, 1975, were approved as distributed.

Under Old Business, concerning reintroduction and further consideration of Resolution 73/7, "A Resolution Approving Revisions to the Faculty Code and Ordinances," Professor Stevenson, on behalf of the Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom Committee, reported that he did not have any amendments to offer at the present meeting but that the committee expected to have ready for the May Senate meeting a recommendation for amending the procedures for the expression of the vote of confidence in academic administrators. He said the committee was also in the process of working out language for revising the procedures for establishment of a Hearing Committee, as set forth under Article IX of the Faculty Code, which the committee considered to be inadequate. Referring to the "clean copy" of the Code Revision, designated as Code Revision No. 2, dated April, 1975, which was distributed to Senate members at the meeting, Professor Stevenson asked that the members make the following two corrections:

Page 13, Line 8: Insert the words "of the corresponding college or school" after the word "official."

Page 18, Section X, 1st Par., Line 6: Add the word "other" at the end of the line.

Professor Stevenson noted that only a limited number of the second Code Revision had been printed and that extra copies would not be available. Professor Stevenson said he would welcome any and all communications from the faculty regarding suggestions for improving the Faculty Code.

Professor Morgan, on behalf of the Executive Committee, moved adoption of Resolution 75/2, "A Resolution to Extend the Joint Committee of Faculty and Students, and Professor Grub seconded. Professor Morgan stated that the resolution reflected

three changes recommended by the Executive Committee which would (1) leave unspecified the period of extension of the Joint Committee since it appeared that the establishment of a Student Government was imminent; (2) change the date of nomination and election of faculty members to correspond with that of Senate Standing Committees; and (3) continue nomination and election of student members to the Joint Committee by the Student Nominating Board and appointed by the President until such time as an alternative approach was developed by an established Student Government.

Professor Kurtz asked if there might not be some other alternative manner by which students could be nominated to the Joint Committee since the resolution implied that nominations could be made only by the Student Nominating Board or, in lieu thereof, by an established Student Government. Professor Morgan responded that the intent of the resolution was to suggest that, if a Student Government was established and that body wished to develop a different approach for nominating and electing students to the Joint Committee, it should be able to do so, inasmuch as the Joint Committee was originally established as a joint committee of the Faculty Senate and the Student Government. Professor Fox stated that it seemed to him the resolution was, in a sense, dictating the body through which student nominees would be acceptable to the Senate, either through the Student Nominating Board or an established Student Government, and he wondered if there was not some other appropriate group, satisfactory to both the students and the President. Professor Kurtz moved that the third paragraph of the resolution be amended by placing a period after the word "development," and striking the words "by an established Student Government," so that it would read "That the student members of the Joint Committee be nominated by the Student Nominating Board and appointed by the President until an alternative approach is developed." Professor Fox seconded the motion. Professor Morgan said that he felt it was necessary to specify by whom an alternative approach would be developed because, in the absence of a Student Government, the determination of the procedure for nomination of students would remain in the Senate. Professor Kurtz said that he would like to extend his amendment to include deleting the word "until" and substituting the word "unless." Professor Grub suggested that it be further amended to read "unless an alternative approach is developed and approved by the Senate," which would permit flexibility and still give the Senate the right to approve or disapprove the procedure for nomination of students. Professor Kurtz accepted Professor Grub's amendment and Professor Fox, as seconder, accepted also. A discussion followed by Professors Morgan, Kurtz, and Griffith. The question was called on the amendment, the motion put, and lost. The question was then called on the original resolution, the motion put, and adopted unanimously.

President Elliott, upon request by Professor Grub, asked for suspension of the rules in order that an amendment might be offered to Senate Resolution 74/9 concerning University policy on academic dishonesty. The President asked if there was any objection to suspending the rules. An objection was raised by Professor Wood to suspending the rules. A vote was taken on suspending the rules and the objection was sustained.

5 (a) President Elliott announced that the Annual Reports of the Committee on Research and the Committee on Fiscal Planning and Budgeting had been received. Professor Kirsch asked the President about the status of the resolutions concerning faculty input in the budgeting process adopted by the Senate at its February 14th meeting. President Elliott advised that both resolutions were under consideration.

(b) On behalf of the Executive Committee, Professor Morgan moved the nomination for membership on the following committees:

- (1) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following Administrative Standing Committees: Judicial System: Jeffrey M. Albert (Chairman), Linda G. De Pauw, and Michael G. Gallagher; Student-Faculty Committee on Appeals: Elyce Z. Ferster (Chairman), Norayr K. Khatcheressian, John P. Reesing, Jr., and Charles T. Stewart, Jr.
- (2) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following Special Committees: Joint Committee of Faculty and Students: Stefan O. Schiff (Co-Chairman), A. E. Claeysens, Edwin J. E. Lewis, Cornelius P. McKelvey, Christopher W. Sten, Helen Yakobson, and Harry Yeide, Jr.; Presidential Appeals Board: Leroy S. Merrifield, Presson S. Shane, M. Elizabeth Tidball, and Reuben E. Wood
- (3) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following committees: Program Board: Bradley W. Sabelli; Governing Board: Elizabeth B. Adams, Richard J. Frankie, Robert E. Park, and Barry Cassidy

There were no nominations from the floor and the entire slate was elected unanimously.

6 Under Brief Statements, Professor Grub said that he would like to read into the record the proposed amendment to Resolution 74/9 distributed earlier in the meeting and recommended by Dean Vaill, as follows:

When a faculty member discovers an instance of apparent academic dishonesty, he must, before any formal confrontation with the student or students involved, secure the concurrence of the dean of the school in which the student is registered as to the fact of the alleged offense and the appropriateness of the proposed punishment.

Professor Grub said that he thought the proposed amendment would be in the best interest of the student, the school, and the University as a whole, and he asked Dean Vaill to comment briefly upon the amendment. Dean Vaill said that it was his opinion that the University Policy on Academic Dishonesty adopted by the Senate at its February 14th meeting posed a possible danger of self-incrimination on the part of the student, given the difficulty of defining "academic dishonesty" and given the severity of the punishment imposed from acts of academic dishonesty. Dean Vaill said his amendment was not intended to diminish the right of a faculty member, but was an attempt to clarify more precisely the procedure by which an alleged case of academic dishonesty would be brought to the attention of a student, thereby preventing possible embarrassment on the part of the University as well as on the part of the student.

Professor Griffith, noting that the school term was coming to a close and that the report of the Executive Committee regarding the matter of the dispute between the faculty of the Medical School and the Administration over the question of confidence in the Vice President for Medical Affairs, had been referred to the Board of Trustees through the President, asked President Elliott if the Board had considered the report and when the Senate might expect further communication on that subject. President Elliott responded that the matter was under consideration.

Professor Wood said that he would like to note that, in reading the Hatchet this year, he found it rather refreshing, and that he would like to say that, under the editorship of Mr. Drew Trachtenberg, the Hatchet had improved a great deal.

7 President Elliott adjourned the meeting at 2:53 p.m., upon motion made, seconded, and passed.



Robert Gebhardtsbauer  
Secretary



THE  
GEORGE  
WASHINGTON  
UNIVERSITY  
MEDICAL CENTER

Department of Medicine  
Division of Renal Diseases  
(202) 331-6243

*The H. B. Burns Memorial Building / 2150 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. / Washington, D.C. 20037*

March 19, 1975

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairman, Executive Committee  
University Faculty Senate  
The George Washington University

SUBJECT: Annual Report of the University Faculty Senate  
Committee on Research

FROM: Norman C. Kramer, M.D., *NCK*  
Chairman

In the course of the past academic year there have been no formal meetings of the University Faculty Senate Committee on Research.

The chairman reviewed the minutes of the meetings of the committee from the preceding years and polled membership of the committee in regard to any new business that might be discussed by the committee. There being no new business and having no business referred to the committee by the University Faculty Senate, no formal meetings of the committee were held this year.

Committee Members:

John C. Allee	Richard Snell
James R. Barth	Phyllis Stewart
Michael S. Castleberry	Morton F. Taragin
Gordon Edwards	ex officio:
Ariel Hollinshead	Harold F. Bright
Michael J. Jackson	Louis H. Mayo
Douglas L. Jones	Henry Solomon
Hugo D. Junghenn	
W. H. Marlow	
William C. Parke	
Frederic R. Siegel	

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
Washington, D.C.

FISCAL PLANNING AND BUDGETING COMMITTEE

ANNUAL REPORT  
1974-75 Session

The committee met several times in order to continue its effort to find ways to involve faculty in fiscal planning and budgeting.

Two resolutions were presented to the senate, 74/11 and 74/12 (both were entitled "A Resolution to Increase the Input of the Faculty in the Budgeting Process"), and both were passed at the February 14 meeting.

*Arthur D. Kirsch*  
Arthur D. Kirsch  
Acting Chairman

Committee Members:

Guy Black  
James F. Burks  
Robert G. Dixon, Jr.  
Hugh L. LeBlanc  
Edwin J. B. Lewis  
T. P. G. Liverman  
Anthony Marinaccio  
Alvin E. Parrish  
Presson S. Shane  
ex officio:  
William D. Johnson

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
Washington, D. C.

The Faculty Senate

April 2, 1975

The Faculty Senate will meet on Friday, April 11, 1975, at 2:10 p.m., in the Faculty Conference Room on the sixth floor of Lisner Hall.

AGENDA

1. Call to order
2. Minutes of the regular meeting of March 21, 1975
3. Old Business:

Reintroduction and further consideration of Resolution 73/7, "A RESOLUTION APPROVING REVISIONS TO THE FACULTY CODE AND ORDINANCES," Professor Russell B. Stevenson, Jr., Chairman, Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom Committee

4. Resolutions:

A RESOLUTION TO EXTEND THE JOINT COMMITTEE OF FACULTY AND STUDENTS (75/2), Executive Committee (resolution attached)

General Business:

- (a) Annual Reports: Research Committee, Fiscal Planning and Budgeting Committee, and Appointment, Salary and Promotion Policies Committee
- (b) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following Administrative Standing Committees: Judicial System: Jeffrey M. Albert (Chairman), Linda G. De Pauw, and Michael G. Gallagher; Student-Faculty Committee on Appeals: Elyce Z. Ferster (Chairman), Norayr K. Khatcheressian, John P. Reesing, Jr., and Charles T. Stewart, Jr.
- (c) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following Special Committees: Joint Committee of Faculty and Students (contingent upon action taken under Item 4): Stefan O. Schiff (Co-Chairman), A. E. Claeysens, Edwin J. B. Lewis, Cornelius P. McKelvey, Christopher W. Sten, Helen Yakobson, and Harry Yeide, Jr.; Presidential Appeals Board: Leroy S. Merrifield, Presson S. Shane, M. Elizabeth Tidball, and Reuben E. Wood
- (d) Nomination for appointment by the President to the following committees: Program Board: Bradley W. Sabelli; Governing Board: Elizabeth B. Adams, Richard J. Frankie, Robert E. Park, and Barry Cassidy

6. Brief Statements
7. Adjournment



Robert Gebhardtsbauer  
Secretary

A RESOLUTION TO EXTEND THE JOINT COMMITTEE OF FACULTY AND STUDENTS (75/2)

WHEREAS, The President often seeks the advice of faculty and students; and

WHEREAS, The Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities emphasizes "the student body shall have clearly defined means, including membership on appropriate committees and administrative bodies, to participate in the formulation and application of institutional policy affecting student affairs"; and

WHEREAS, A recognized need exists for a joint body of faculty and students to consider policy directly affecting student relations; and

WHEREAS, Students have demonstrated their interest and competence in serving on faculty/student committees; therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FACULTY SENATE OF THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

1. That the Joint Committee of Faculty and Students established by Senate Resolution 69/6 and extended for one year by Senate vote on April 12, 1974, be continued; and
2. That the faculty members of the Joint Committee be nominated and elected in the same manner as Standing Committees of the Senate; and
3. That the student members of the Joint Committee be nominated by the Student Nominating Board and appointed by the President until an alternative approach is developed by an established Student Government.

Executive Committee  
March 28, 1975